1	
2	
3	NIAGARA COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE
5	AES EASTERN ENERGY, L.P. SOMERSET, NEW YORK
6	JANUARY 11, 2010 4:00 p.m.
7	SOMERSET TOWN HALL
8	
9	
10	APPEARANCES: LAWRENCE WITUL,
11	Assistant Director.
12	
13	ANDREA P. EGOLF,
14	Court Reporter.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

MR. WITUL: Would everyone please sign the attendance sheet even if you do not wish to comment? Good afternoon. My name is Lawrence Witul. I'm the Assistant Director of the Niagara County Industrial Development Agency. I will be serving as hearing officer for this public hearing. It is now 4:05 p.m.

A copy of the project summary cost benefit is available for review.

The purpose of this hearing is to solicit comments, both written and oral, on the AES Eastern Energy, LP, Project Somerset, New York. Comments can be in support of or in opposition to the project or on the nature or location of the project. All comments are to be limited to the AES Eastern Energy, LP, project. This hearing is not for accepting comments on any environmental issues nor environmental determination and this is not a part of the New York State SEQRA Process.

Notice of public hearing. Notice is hereby given that a public hearing pursuant to Article 18-A of the New York General Municipal Law will be held by the Niagara County Industrial Development Agency, the agency, on the 11th day of January 2010 at 4 p.m, local time at the Somerset Town Hall, 8700 Haight Road, Barker, New York 14012, in connection with the following matter.

AES Eastern Energy, LP, for itself or on behalf of an entity formed or to be formed, the company, has submitted an application, the application, to the agency, a copy of which is on file at the office of the agency requesting that the agency consider undertaking a project, the project, for the benefit of the company consisting of: (A) the acquisition or retention by the agency of fee title to or a leasehold interest in an approximately 1800 acre parcel of land located at 7725 Lake Road in the Town of

Somerset, Niagara County, New York, the land, together with the existing nine buildings thereon, the existing improvements and together with the land, the facility: (B) The leasing of the facility to the company: (C) the granting of certain other financial assistance, within the meaning of Section 854(14) of the act, with respect to a payment-in-lieu-of-tax agreement, the PILOT agreement, and a mortgage with the company, relating to the PILOT agreement, the PILOT mortgage.

2.1

The agency will acquire or retain title to or leasehold interest in the facility and lease the facility back to the company. The company will operate the facility during the term of the lease. At the end of the lease term the company will purchase the facility from the agency or if the agency holding a leasehold interest, the leasehold interest will be terminated. The agency

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

2.1

contemplates that it will provide

financial assistance, the financial

assistance, to the company for qualifying

portions of the project, consisting of

partial real property tax abatement in

the form of a certain

payment-in-lieu-of-tax agreement and a

mortgage recording tax exemption with

respect to a certain

payment-in-lieu-of-tax mortgage.

A representative of the agency will be at the above-stated time and place to present a copy of the company's project application and hear and accept written and oral comments from all persons with views in favor of or opposed to or otherwise relevant to the proposed financial assistance.

This public hearing is being conducted in accordance with Subdivision 2 of Section 859-a of the New York General Municipal Law.

Niagara County Industrial

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Development Agency. Dated December 9th, 2009. By Samuel M. Ferraro, Executive Director.

Everybody should have a copy of what we referred to earlier as the project summary cost benefit sheet. note that the applicant is AES Energy, LP, that the project is located in Barker, New York, that the project was established in 1998, that the Niagara County, Barker School District and the Town of Somerset are seeking the agency to consider the establishment of a five year payment-in-lieu-of-tax agreement to the Somerset facility. The proposed PILOT agreement for the project facility will have a limited impact on the current short-term future property tax base and tax revenues.

Again, the terms and other comments relevant to the project are included in that project summary sheet cost benefit.

Before I go on, has everyone had a

chance to get a copy of that?

I will open the hearing for comments. Once you are recognized, give your name, address, and the organization that you might represent. Direct all comments to the chair. And your comments should be limited to this project.

Due to the number of people, we ask that at least for the first round of comments that it be limited to three minutes.

At this time if there is anyone that would like to comment, please raise your hand.

Yes, sir.

JAMES HOFFMAN: Mr. James Hoffman,
resident of the Town of Somerset,
resident of Barker Central School
District and resident of Niagara County.

I, along with many others, are experiencing a triple whammy of the previous AES PILOT recently nullified by the Fourth Appellate Court of New York

where since 2007 our school taxes were raised 23 percent, the town has lost \$300,000 in revenue each year and county taxes were affected by something like two percent. So I look forward to tax certainty for us tax payers just like AES is looking for tax certainty for their organization.

2.1

I'm grateful that the parties, Town of Somerset, Barker Central School and Niagara County and the IDA and AES are now negotiating rather than litigating. It is heartening to know that the barrage of AES lawsuits may soon be ending. This would not be happening without the litigation that has taken place to date relating to the now nullified AES PILOT.

I extend my thanks to those on the Somerset Town Board and those at the Barker Central School District that supported that effort and brought us to this point. It was money, effort and community resources well directed.

20

2.1

22

23

I believe negotiating a new PILOT for AES is an inferior approach to establishing a fair assessed value for the plant. Negotiation is really taking place, in my opinion, in the wrong arena. The item under negotiation should be the AES assessed value of that facility. Other properties are taxed on assessed valuation. Why a large profitable multinational corporation requires a tax break which is in reality a tax shift to those individuals and companies that are not as politically well connected or well layered is difficult to understand. this action the winners in the Fourth Appellate Court are turning out to be the losers and the losers are turning out to be the winners in this negotiation.

If taxes are too oppressive, and they are in our state and our county, cuts across the board should be made in taxes period.

And where are the jobs that this

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

PILOT is going to create? I was under the impression PILOTs were to enhance the county and I see no jobs being created on this one like they weren't on the last PILOT.

Now, since I did not have the opportunity to review any documents here, I call on my checkered past in contract management negotiations and spec writing to make some recommendations for this PILOT.

Renegotiation be required if the property is sold.

All future improvements at the AES Somerset Facility should not be included in this PILOT agreement. And I'm specifically addressing the coal port, a wind turbine farm, a possible second power plant and other possible expansions.

All pending litigation relating to this should be dropped. I think that's a no-brainer.

6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17

18

19

20

2.1

22

No taxes other than property taxes should be included in this agreement, specifically sales taxes, property transfer taxes and special district taxes. Fire, refuse, water, sewer, should be paid separately outside of this agreement.

Schedule of payments to be jointly agreed to by the town and the school district. That's a no-brainer, I think.

All parties as to the PILOT agreement should acknowledge that according to the New York Public Officers Law, Article 6, Freedom of Information, that the public has a right to information about the PILOT agreement and associated documentation in accordance with Article 7 of that same law, all meetings relating to this matter are open to the public. I don't think that has been happening in the past. I don't think the information has been available in a timely fashion. As a matter of

1 fact, in consulting with the Freedom of 2 Information people in Albany, the 3 information should be available the day 4 you make the announcement for the public 5 hearing and that date is December 9th. 6 Now, in conclusion --7 MR. WITUL: I have to ask you to hold 8 the rest of your comments for the second 9 go-round. I want to give everyone an 10 equal opportunity to talk. 11 MR. HOFFMAN: I just want to say that I 12 think the public hearing should recess 13 until the information is available. 14 Thank you. 15 MR. WITUL: Anyone else wishing to 16 comment, please raise your hand and give 17 your name and organization you might 18 represent. 19 RANDY WAYNER: Randy Wayner. I live at 20 1434 Ouaker Road and I'm a counselman for 21 the Town of Somerset and lifelong 22 resident of the town.

To me this PILOT achieves at least

1 four major benefits, it provides for tax 2 certainly for AES --3 MR. WITUL: Would you please direct 4 all your comments to the chair? 5 -- tax certainty for AES RANDY WAYNER: so they can better plan for the future 6 7 and run their business even more 8 effectively. By that exact same coin, it 9 provides revenue stabilization to the 10 taxing jurisdiction, the Town of 11 Somerset, Barker Central District and the 12 County of Niagara, but it goes way beyond 13 that. It -- it removes the spectra of 14 additional litigation from all our 15 futures. By putting lawsuits behind us, 16 all four of the involved parties can 17 reallocate the funds and human resources 18 which would have been expended in court. 19 For AES, strengthening their business. 20 For Barker Central School to provide 21 students -- better provide to the 22 students' needs. And for Niagara County, 23 to mitigate the ever-rising costs of

those governments. For those reasons I urge ratification of this PILOT. Thank you.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MR. WITUL: Thank you for your comments. Anyone else wishing to comment, please raise your hand. Yes, sir.

Daniel Engert, a resident DANIEL ENGERT: of Somerset, and a board member. I would also echo Councilman Wayner's comments with respect to encouragement of the ratification of this PILOT. I would also particularly like to express appreciation to the Industrial Development Agency for its approach with this PILOT and allowing the taxing jurisdiction and the business ample time and opportunity to work through the issues that are so important to all the residents of our community and to the business. And I think that this model of passivity on the part of the IDA board in allowing the taxing jurisdiction to work through this has been, I think, a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

good model for future PILOTs in the county and I just would like to thank the agency for their -- for their work in this effort.

MR. WITUL: Thank you for your comments.

Anyone else? Yes, sir.

ROGER KLAH: Roger Klah, superintendent of First, we're Barker Central Schools. pleased that and agreement in principle has been reached among all parties. Particularly for the school district, this restructured PILOT will bring revenue certainty through 2015. And having this significant portion of district revenue predictable at a time when state aid projections are unclear will allow us to better prepare and develop budgets for the next several years. And lastly, we will no longer need to devote extensive district resources to defend and litigate proceedings

MR. WITUL: Thank you. Anyone else

1 wantin

2.1

wanting to comment?

Town of Somerset. I wanted to recognize the fact that this PILOT was a partnership between the taxing jurisdictions and AES and negotiations have been solely on our part and I would like to point out some of the individuals who are responsible for the conclusion of this PILOT, something that has taken a long time to come about, and it is nice to see that we are finally at that point where we can come to an agreement.

Dr. Roger Klah from the Barker -superintendent of the Barker School
District. Gordon Kenney, president of
the school board. Scott Hoot, business
administrator. John Syracuse
representing the Niagara County
Legislature. Councilman Daniel Engert
and Randy Wayner who were integral in
this process of getting these
negotiations settled and completed. From

1 EAS, John Renman and Peter Bajc and --2 and Keven Pease who is, I'm sure, living 3 it up in the Philippines right now. 4 also Karen Pavlock. I'd like to thank 5 everybody who was involved in this 6 process. And I'd also like to thank the 7 IDA for allowing us to negotiate among 8 ourselves in bringing a package to you to 9 put together instead of the IDA having to 10 be in the position where they have to 11 make the decision. And thank you for 12 putting it back in the elected official's 13 position to make these proper decisions 14 for the people of their respective areas. 15 I would like to support this 16 whole-heatedly.

MR. WITUL: Thank you for your comments. Thank you. Yes, sir

IAN HOGAN: Ian Hogan, Barker, New York. I would like to thank the board and the people involved in this, I think it is a fantastic thing and should have been done five years ago, people sitting

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 down and talking. Thank you. 2 MR. WITUL: Thank you. Anyone else, 3 please feel free to comment. Let me make 4 sure nobody else wants to comment. 5 I still believe that the JAMES HOFFMAN: information was not made available in a 6 7 timely fashion. And when I haven't heard 8 from anybody in what the affect on my taxes will be because after all, isn't 9 10 that the bottom line why everybody is 11 here, what is the affect on my taxes, my 12 tax bill? I haven't heard anything about 13 that. 14 MR. WITUL: Would you please give your 15 name once again for the purpose of the 16 transcript and the record? 17 JAMES HOFFMAN: James Hoffman. Thank you. 18 And I have a copy of what I just talked 19 to you about. 20 MR. WITUL: Great. Thank you. Anyone 21 Okay. This will be your last else? 22 opportunity, if anyone would like to 23 comment on the AES Eastern Energy, LP,

Somerset Project, please do so at this time; if not, I'd like to thank everybody for coming this evening. I appreciate your time, your comments and your input.

A transcript of this hearing will be provided to the Industrial Development Agency board members prior to its board meeting next week and prior to any action being taken by the board. It will have full opportunity to review the transcript and we also provide them with a brief overview or oral summary of those in attendance and the number of comments. Again, the transcript itself is what the agency will review as part of its consideration.

Once again, please be careful as you drive home, we're starting to get snow out there. And personally I'd like to thank you for the warm reception we received this evening. Last time I was here there was a couple hundred more people. Again, thank you for your

1 2 3

participation and really your taking personal interest in government and what is going on. Thank you again. And thank you to the Town of Somerset.

_ _

* * * * *

1 STATE OF NEW YORK)

COUNTY OF NIAGARA)

I, ANDREA P. EGOLF, a Notary Public in and for the State of New York, County of Niagara, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the proceedings were taken down by me in a verbatim manner by means of Machine Shorthand. That the deposition was then reduced in writing under my direction. That the deposition was taken to be used in the above-entitled action. That the said deponent, before examination, was duly sworn by me to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, relative to said action.

SS:

I further CERTIFY that the above-described transcript constitutes a true and accurate and complete transcript of the testimony.

ANDREA P. EGOLF,
Notary Public.